

	R 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	N/A	N/A	N/A
2002	Unsatisfactory	N/A	N/A
2003	Unsatisfactory	Good	N/A
2004			

TENTH GRADE PASSAGE OF ONE OR MORE SUBTESTS OF THE EXIT EXAM						
	Our School			Hig Stud	h Schools w dents Like O	ith urs
Percent	2001	2002	2003	2001	2002	2003
Passed all 3 subtests	56.3	48.6	42.7	57.5	54.7	55.5
Passed 2 subtests	14.8	15.3	25.2	20.0	19.6	20.6
Passed 1 subtest	20.4	24.3	20.6	13.1	14.9	14.1
Passed no subtests	8.5	11.8	11.5	9.4	10.9	9.5

Male 61 91.8 29 0.0 78 52.6 Female 60 86.7 47 4.3 74 39.2 Race or Ethnic Group African American 92 85.9 61 0.0 115 47.8 Hispanic N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A White 25 100.0 11 18.2 31 35.5 Other 2 I/S 4 I/S 6 66.7 Disability Status Non-speech disabilities 2 I/S 0 N/A 12 0.0	PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS							
All Students 121 89.3 76 2.6 152 46.1 Gender Male 61 91.8 29 0.0 78 52.6 Female 60 86.7 47 4.3 74 39.2 Race or Ethnic Group African American 92 85.9 61 0.0 115 47.8 Hispanic N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A White 25 100.0 11 18.2 31 35.5 Other 2 I/S 4 I/S 6 66.7 Disability Status Non-speech disabilities 2 I/S 0 N/A 12 0.0 Students without disabilities 119 89.9 76 2.6 140 50.0 Migrant Status Migrant N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Registration of the proficiency VI 76 2.6 0 N				Eligibility Scholar	Eligibility for LIFE Scholarships*		Graduation Rate	
Gender Male 61 91.8 29 0.0 78 52.6 Female 60 86.7 47 4.3 74 39.2 Race or Ethnic Group African American 92 85.9 61 0.0 115 47.8 Hispanic N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A White 25 100.0 11 18.2 31 35.5 Other 2 I/S 4 I/S 6 66.7 Disability Status Non-speech disabilities 2 I/S 0 N/A 12 0.0 Students without disabilities 119 89.9 76 2.6 140 50.0 Migrant Status Migrant N/A N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-migrant 2 I/S 76 2.6 0 N/A English Proficiency 119	All Students							
Female 60 86.7 47 4.3 74 39.2 Race or Ethnic Group African American 92 85.9 61 0.0 115 47.8 Hispanic N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A White 25 100.0 11 18.2 31 35.5 0ther 2 I/S 4 I/S 6 66.7 Disability Status Non-speech disabilities 2 I/S 0 N/A 12 0.0 Students without disabilities 119 89.9 76 2.6 140 50.0 50.0 Migrant Status Wighter Status N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Migrant Status N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Migrant Status N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A English Proficiency Vison-LEP	Gender		00.0		2.0	.02		
Race or Ethnic Group African American 92 85.9 61 0.0 115 47.8 Hispanic N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A White 25 100.0 11 18.2 31 35.5 Other 2 I/S 4 I/S 6 66.7 Disability Status Non-speech disabilities 2 I/S 0 N/A 12 0.0 Students without disabilities 119 89.9 76 2.6 140 50.0 Migrant Status Migrant N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-migrant 2 I/S 76 2.6 0 N/A English Proficiency Limited English proficient N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-LEP 119 89.1 76 2.6 143 49.0 Lunch Status	Male	61	91.8	29	0.0	78	52.6	
African American 92 85.9 61 0.0 115 47.8 Hispanic N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A White 25 100.0 11 18.2 31 35.5 Other 2 I/S 4 I/S 6 66.7 Disability Status Non-speech disabilities 2 I/S 0 N/A 12 0.0 Students without disabilities 119 89.9 76 2.6 140 50.0 Migrant Status Migrant N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-migrant 2 I/S 76 2.6 0 N/A English Proficiency Limited English proficient N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-LEP 119 89.1 76 2.6 143 49.0 Lunch Status Subsidized meals 71 87.3 45 0.0 90 45.6	Female	60	86.7	47	4.3	74	39.2	
Hispanic N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A White 25 100.0 11 18.2 31 35.5 Other 2 I/S 4 I/S 6 66.7 Disability Status Non-speech disabilities 2 I/S 0 N/A 12 0.0 Students without disabilities 119 89.9 76 2.6 140 50.0 Migrant Status Migrant Status Migrant N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-migrant 2 I/S 76 2.6 0 N/A N/A Non-migrant 2 I/S 76 2.6 140 50.0 Limited English proficient N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-LEP 119 89.1 76 2.6 143 49.0 Lunch Status Lunch Status Subsidized meals 71 87.3 45 0.0 90 45.6	Race or Ethnic Group							
White 25 100.0 11 18.2 31 35.5 Other 2 I/S 4 I/S 6 66.7 Disability Status Non-speech disabilities 2 I/S 0 N/A 12 0.0 Students without disabilities 119 89.9 76 2.6 140 50.0 Migrant Status Migrant N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-migrant 2 I/S 76 2.6 0 N/A English Proficiency Limited English proficient N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-LEP 119 89.1 76 2.6 143 49.0 Lunch Status Subsidized meals 71 87.3 45 0.0 90 45.6	African American	92	85.9	61	0.0	115	47.8	
Other 2 I/S 4 I/S 6 66.7 Disability Status Non-speech disabilities 2 I/S 0 N/A 12 0.0 Students without disabilities 119 89.9 76 2.6 140 50.0 Migrant Status Migrant N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-migrant 2 I/S 76 2.6 0 N/A English Proficiency Limited English proficient N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-LEP 119 89.1 76 2.6 143 49.0 Lunch Status Subsidized meals 71 87.3 45 0.0 90 45.6	•	N/A	N/A	0		0	N/A	
Disability Status Non-speech disabilities 2 I/S 0 N/A 12 0.0 Students without disabilities 119 89.9 76 2.6 140 50.0 Migrant Status Migrant N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-migrant 2 I/S 76 2.6 0 N/A English Proficiency Limited English proficient N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-LEP 119 89.1 76 2.6 143 49.0 Lunch Status Subsidized meals 71 87.3 45 0.0 90 45.6	White	25		11	18.2	31	35.5	
Non-speech disabilities 2 I/S 0 N/A 12 0.0 Students without disabilities 119 89.9 76 2.6 140 50.0 Migrant Status Migrant N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-migrant 2 I/S 76 2.6 0 N/A English Proficiency Limited English proficient N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-LEP 119 89.1 76 2.6 143 49.0 Lunch Status Subsidized meals 71 87.3 45 0.0 90 45.6	Other	2	I/S	4	I/S	6	66.7	
Students without disabilities 119 89.9 76 2.6 140 50.0 Migrant Status Migrant N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-migrant 2 I/S 76 2.6 0 N/A English Proficiency Limited English proficient N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-LEP 119 89.1 76 2.6 143 49.0 Lunch Status Subsidized meals 71 87.3 45 0.0 90 45.6	Disability Status							
Migrant Status Migrant N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-migrant 2 I/S 76 2.6 0 N/A English Proficiency Emitted English proficient N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-LEP 119 89.1 76 2.6 143 49.0 Lunch Status Subsidized meals 71 87.3 45 0.0 90 45.6	Non-speech disabilities	2	I/S	0	N/A	12	0.0	
Migrant N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-migrant 2 I/S 76 2.6 0 N/A English Proficiency Usinited English proficient N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-LEP 119 89.1 76 2.6 143 49.0 Lunch Status Subsidized meals 71 87.3 45 0.0 90 45.6	Students without disabilities	119	89.9	76	2.6	140	50.0	
Non-migrant 2 I/S 76 2.6 0 N/A English Proficiency Limited English proficient N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-LEP 119 89.1 76 2.6 143 49.0 Lunch Status Subsidized meals 71 87.3 45 0.0 90 45.6	Migrant Status							
English Proficiency Limited English proficient N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-LEP 119 89.1 76 2.6 143 49.0 Lunch Status Subsidized meals 71 87.3 45 0.0 90 45.6	Migrant	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	
Limited English proficient N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-LEP 119 89.1 76 2.6 143 49.0 Lunch Status Subsidized meals 71 87.3 45 0.0 90 45.6	Non-migrant	2	I/S	76	2.6	0	N/A	
Non-LEP 119 89.1 76 2.6 143 49.0 Lunch Status Subsidized meals 71 87.3 45 0.0 90 45.6	English Proficiency							
Lunch Status Subsidized meals 71 87.3 45 0.0 90 45.6	Limited English proficient	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	
Subsidized meals 71 87.3 45 0.0 90 45.6	Non-LEP	119	89.1	76	2.6	143	49.0	
	Lunch Status							
Full-pay meals 49 91.8 31 6.5 62 46.8	Subsidized meals	71	87.3	45	0.0	90	45.6	
	Full-pay meals	49	91.8	31	6.5	62	46.8	

Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours		
2.6	5.9		
2.6	6.0		
35.5	45.4		
	2.6		

^{*}Using only the SAT and grade point average requirements

Woodland High 1804019

SCHOOL PROFILE				
	OurSchool	Change from Last Year	High Schools with Students Like Ours	Median High School
Students (n= 693)				
Retention rate Attendance rate	13.3% 91.2%	Up from 6.4% Down from 91.7%	8.3% 95.2%	7.3% 95.5%
Eligible for gifted and talented With disabilities other than speech	0.0% 12.0%	No change Up from 9.9%	4.5% 13.3%	5.1% 12.2%
Older than usual for grade Suspended or expelled	13.7% 8.9%	Down from 14.5% Up from 3.6%	13.0% 2.3%	10.1% 2.3%
Enrolled in AP/IB programs Successful on AP/IB exams	3.9% N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	10.2% N/A
Annual dropout rate	5.8%	Up from 3.9%	4.3%	2.7%
Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations	3.6%	Up from 1.6%	2.2%	3.2%
Enrollment in career/technology cente courses	r 309	Up from 137	309	433
Students participating in worked-based experiences	0.9%	No change	19.4%	26.3%
Career/technology students mastering core competencies	72.5%	Up from 59.0%	70.5%	74.9%
Career/technology completers placed	N/A	N/A	98.0%	99.5%
Teachers (n= 41)				
Teachers with advanced degrees Continuing contract teachers	46.3% 75.6%	Down from 50.0% Down from 83.3%	41.4% 75.6%	51.7% 81.8%
Highly qualified teachers Teachers returning from previous year	N/A 78.4%	N/A N/A	N/A 81.9%	N/A 85.1%
Teacher attendance rate Average teacher salary	92.9% \$42,308	Down from 94.9% Up 3.1%	95.5% \$37,677	95.8% \$40,303
Prof. development days/teacher	14.7 days	Up from 12.6 days	10.0 days	10.3 days
School				
Principal's years at school Student-teacher ratio	1.0 22.6 to 1	No change Down from 25.1 to 1	3.0 25.1 to 1	3.0 26.2 to 1
Prime instructional time Dollars spent per pupil*	83.0% \$6,905	Down from 84.3% Up 2.8%	89.8% \$6,446	90.1% \$6,279
Percent spent on teacher salaries* Opportunities in the arts	53.6% Excellent	Down from 54.3% No change	53.4% Good	57.8% Excellent
Parents attending conferences SACS accreditation	94.0% ves	Up from 67.3% N/A	79.6%	87.8%
* Prior year audited financial data are reported.	yes	14/74	yes	yes

* Prior v	ear audited	financial	data	are	reported

	Our District	State	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools	N/A	N/A	
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools	N/A	N/A	

Abbreviations for Missing Data

N/A Not Applicable N/C Not Collected	N/R Not Reported	I/S Insufficient Sample
--------------------------------------	------------------	-------------------------

Woodland High 1804019

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

Woodland High School has served, yearly, approximately 700 students grades nine through twelve since the fall of 1999. Woodland High School was created as a result of a consolidation of two single "A" high schools - Harleyville-Ridgeville High School and St. George High School. The new school was built to accommodate 1.000 students.

In partnership with the community, Woodland High School is educating for the future to develop responsible, productive citizens by teaching on a higher level through structured and challenging academic, technological, and social experiences.

In an effort to improve curriculum, instruction, and student achievement the school's staff, administration, and School Improvement Council have conducted several self-studies and are in the process of implementing plans for improvement. Some of these include, but are not limited to the development of school-wide curriculum, improved technology to assist instruction, and the implementation of a freshman developmental program.

Woodland feels that there are several challenges and opportunities ahead. The challenges and opportunities include the implementation of a strong standards based curriculum, improvement of students' attitude toward learning, improvement of test results with a focus on professional development, improved parental, community, and business involvement.

Woodland High School's staff and School Improvement Council look forward to working with the students, parents and community to make our school the very best.

At Woodland High School and Dorchester School District Four, we put our children first.

Georgia C. Walters Interim Principal 2002-2003

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS						
	Teachers	Students	Parents			
Number of surveys returned	42	132	14			
Percent satisfied with learning environment	53.7%	44.6%	78.6%			
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	74.4%	53.4%	64.3%			
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	9.8%	65.6%	78.6%			

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
 - Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.